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Well attended NJF seminar on Organic Farming Systems as a Driver for Change

By Camilla Mathiesen, ICROFS

120 researchers from more than 20 countries attended the NJF seminar Organic Farming Systems as a Driver for Change held in Vingsted, Denmark on 21-23 August. The program boasted more than 75 presentations covering the 4 main topics: Societal and economic viability, transition to renewable resources, nutrient sufficiency and management and productivity and sustainable production levels in animal and crop production.

The afternoon of the second day was devoted to field trips to different organic farms nearby. Here the owners presented their farms and the systems and challenges to their specific type of organic production. This brought lively discussion and a lot of questions. The last day of the seminar included yet more oral presentations under the headlines: Supporting development of robust and holistic farming systems, Shaping resource efficient management strategies for green manure crops, Changes towards improved productivity and animal welfare and finally: New methods and designs for organic vegetable production.

The seminar ended with a plenary discussion of the challenges, conclusions and future research needs. The seminar was co-arranged by NJF, EPOK and ICROFS. To get an overview of all presentations from the seminar, the proceedings can be downloaded here.

Agriculture can save 1.1 million tonnes CO₂ per year.

A report from DCA, Aarhus University lists a number of measures that may be used by farmers to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and increase soil carbon storage.

The report describes and assesses the effects of the measures that agriculture may employ to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.

The list of available options concentrates, in particular, on methane and nitrous oxide emissions and also on changes in soil carbon contents. Some of the measures can also provide biomass for the energy sector. These effects are also included in the report.

Further information: Professor Jørgen E. Olesen, Department of Agroecology, e-mail: JorgenE.Olesen@agrsci.dk.
Organic Eprints now contains close to 14000 publications. Most of them are from Europe, but there are registered users from the whole world – 25000 of them! This means, that when you search publications about organic farming and food systems, you get a lot of relevant hits. And when you use Organic Eprints for depositing your own publications, they are seen by a lot of people. Actually, many users find papers in Organic Eprints through Google – try searching for papers on research in organic agriculture within your own field on Google, and see, how many hits are actually in Organic Eprints.

A new screenshot manual can be found here. It describes how to use the system – both well-known functionalities and new, after Organic Eprints has been through some changes. In collaboration with IFOAM and others, we have had this manual translated to Spanish, you can find the Spanish version here. Even if you feel you know Organic Eprints well, it might be an idea to look through the manual – you might get information that you can use, or hints of how to utilize Organic Eprints more and better.

You can also use Organic Eprints to keep an eye on new publications – either by using “Latest” in the top menu (see screenshot above) to view the papers that were entered into the archive within the last week, or by making a specific search within your own field, and have updates sent regularly. You can find instructions on how to do this in the screenshot manual.

**Agrovoc keywords**

We have incorporated the possibility to use Agrovoc keywords when uploading a document in Organic Eprints. In addition to freely chosen keywords, which is still possible, you can start to type a word in the agrovoc field, and after 3-4 letters, the system will suggest relevant agrovoc terms (see screenshot). This can make the keywords used more uniform, so that when searching, you do not have to think of all the possible keywords someone might have used for a relevant subject, e.g. instead of searching for “Agroecology”, “Agro-ecology” and “Agroecologic”, you can limit your search to the agrovoc term “agroecology”. In addition, the agrovoc terms are translated to a number of different languages. At present, you can choose agrovoc terms in English, German and Spanish, but eventually, we will add more languages.

For one thing, this means that by following the link (not active at present) for the keyword, you will find the translation of that term into up to 20 languages.
Productivity and Growth in Organic Value-chains (ProGrOV)

Research results and activities from the ProGrOV project

In this issue - and in forthcoming issues - ICROFS news will bring a number of articles with activities and results from the ProGrOV project presented by the MSc students from Uganda, Kenya, Tanzania and Denmark.

The ProGrOV project is about improving productivity and growth in existing organic value-chains in Uganda, Kenya and Tanzania by way of developing agro-ecological methods governance and management of chains, and by capacity development regarding reseach focussed on organic and interdisciplinary approaches.

3rd Project Training Workshop and Project Meeting
8-15 September 2013

Stakeholders and Progov team
'Development is a conversation!' This is one of the illuminating quotes given during a presentation on ‘rural development from a practitioner’s point of view’ to challenge the ProGrOV team at the 3rd Project Training Workshop and Project Meeting.

The presenter’s notion was that to achieve successful development, participation and communication between all key actors is pertinent.

The ProGrOV project aims through research at supporting the development of organic value chains and will eventually contribute to the strengthening of communities, and stimulate rural development in a sustainable way. The concepts of rural development and communication are thus important for ProGrOV to consider and understand. It can, however, be a challenge for researchers to see their work in a larger perspective and to see how it can contribute to rural development. How does research in integrated livestock management contribute to rural development? How can the results of an analysis of the governance of organic value chain or knowledge on improved methods to manage mealy bugs in pineapple be linked to improving the livelihood of smallholder farmers? Therefore, the main focus of the training that took place at the recent ProGrOV workshop was ‘rural development and dissemination.

Linking agricultural research with rural development
ProGrOV had called upon three experts to provide food for thoughts. Rural development was explained and discussed from the theoretical point of view as well as from the points of view of extension and rural development practitioners. Some of the key messages that were put across by the three presenters were:

Household well-being versus farm economics: Traditional agricultural economics is typically concerned with measures such as yields per hectare or prices per kg of a produce in order to get a measure for farm income. However, a farmers decision-making in order to improve the situation for the family depends on a range of other values which may related to security of the household, risk minimization, access to various resources, status in society, cultural values, etc. Therefore, farm income is not the primary measure for rural development.

Communication between researchers and stakeholders: Research has an important role to play in the development of organic and other sustainable agricultural production. However, scientist need to engage in a two-way communication with the farmers and other stakeholders instead of taking a top-down/one way communication approach – sometimes referred to as ‘ivory towerism’ – for the dual purpose of ensuring that the research addresses the felt needs within the rural setting as well as to get mes-
sages across and understood by stakeholders.

**Participation of stakeholders:**
a participatory approach where stakeholders are engaged in developing, testing or giving feed-back to research is necessary not only to target the rights problems, but also to make sure that the solutions that are being developed are applicable and feasible for the farmers to use. A participatory approach will further help researchers in packaging the message in a way that is mainstream and easily understood by practitioners.

**Thoughts from Kenya’s stakeholders within the organic sector**
The ProGrOV research projects in Kenya address domestic high value organic chains and several of the MSc studies in ProGrOV are related to the market and consumer preferences. Therefore, the project had invited different stakeholders from the domestic chains to a workshop to give feedback and inputs specifically to the Kenyan research projects, as well as to the project in general. The objectives of the workshop were:

- to create strategy and foundation for dissemination of the study findings of the ProGrOV project and
- to stimulate feedback that will identify gaps in organic value chains that will inform further research.

The forum brought together different actors related to the organic value chains. The floor was opened by the representative from the Danish embassy who put the workshop into perspective linking the development of value chains with development. Thereafter both stakeholders and students had a chance to present their work and it was evident that most of their work was complementary, although it was also noted from the stakeholders’ presentations that there were many research gaps related to issues such as health benefits of organic produce, chain development, and the organic production systems. The presentations by stakeholders included Green Health Innovations (GHI) an NGO involved with addressing the lack of awareness and knowledge gap felt by consumers on value of organic produce and providing the group with views on nutrition and health as part of value addition to organic value chains; a small business enterprise providing organic inputs shared knowledge on Kenyan soil its conservation and amendments; the head of Organic Foods, a supplier to the supermarket chain Nakumatt, shared information and experience in matching supply and demand of organic products; and finally a representative from the Ministry of Agriculture informed on the progress regarding the policy on organic agriculture.

**Fieldtrip: Organic production in Kiambu**
To get a real life perspective of the organic production for local high value markets and to get a first-hand impression on the challenges that farmer are facing in accessing the market the ProGrOV visited organic producers in Kiambu County in the outskirts of Nairobi. Stops were made at two production sites:

- **Kalimoni Farm and Kalimoni Organic Shop**
The enthusiastic farm manager Mr. Mwangi took the group on a guided tour explaining how the farm is run and showing the diverse cropping system with a variety of crops as well as animals. Of interest was also the recycling of organic waste for compost making collected from their customers. Upon questions on how he tackled pests, the manager demonstrated the different intercropping methods and mulching used for pest control. However, he did mention that certain pests were still a challenge. Following that was a visit to the Kalimoni Organic Shop...
The gathering included 37 project participants – students and their supervisors from Sokoine University of Agriculture in Tanzania, Makerere University in Uganda, University of Nairobi in Kenya; supervisors from Aarhus University and University of Copenhagen, the Organic Movements from Tanzania, Uganda and Kenya; and the coordinators from ICROFS. The annual workshop and project meeting is an essential event for all the participants as this is where all the participants meet and share their work, findings and challenges and review progress.

"Development is a conversation" was the message given on the first day of the workshop, i.e. communication and interactions with stakeholders in the various steps of the value chain was inspiring and helped ensuring that the work of the students was on the right track.

located in the nearby shopping area. In addition to the shop, Kalimoni also sell their produce through a basket scheme, as well as they organise organic farmers markets together with other farmers.

**Muhuri Road Organic Group - an organic farmers group**

The group comprised of men and women as well as young and old farmers. The Muhuri Road Organic group was formed in 2010 initially getting support from the NGO RODI Kenya. The group became interested in organic farming and they approached the ProGrOV partner Kenya Organic Agriculture Network (KOAN) for training in organic agriculture practices, certification and marketing. The group started off with 25 members but it currently has 16 members. The members expressed that knowledge/information on issues such as pest control, soil amendments etc. is a main challenge. For their produce, the members usually market their products through organic farmers markets and organic outlets in Nairobi. However with surplus produce their products are also sold in the conventional markets.

‘Development is a conversation’ was the message given on the first day of the workshop, i.e. communication and interactions with stakeholders in the organic value chain are essential for making research contribute to development. This was experienced at first hand through the stakeholder workshop and visits to the farmers’ fields. The group experienced that sharing knowledge with stakeholders in the various steps of the value chain was inspiring and helped ensuring that the work of the students was on the right track.

**More information**

The gathering included 37 project participants – students and their supervisors from Sokoine University of Agriculture in Tanzania, Makerere University in Uganda, University of Nairobi in Kenya; supervisors from Aarhus University and University of Copenhagen, the Organic Movements from Tanzania, Uganda and Kenya; and the coordinators from ICROFS. The annual workshop and project meeting is an essential event for all the participants as this is where all the participants meet and share their work, findings and challenges and review progress.

Read more about ProGrOV: [http://www.icrofs.org/Pages/Research/progov.html](http://www.icrofs.org/Pages/Research/progov.html)
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Hay production

At three organic dairy farms, two fields with herb enriched grass sward, together with the traditional grassland at the farm, was used for hay production.

Data for hay production (table 1 and 2) at each farm is from the total area and amount of hay made during 2012, as the aim was to collect data of large scale production. The three farms are working together using the same equipment in the field. Therefore, data in table 1 is an average for the three farms and three cuts at each farm. In average there were 73 hours from mowing to collecting and during this period the herbage was inverted 3.5 times before raking immediately before cutting. During this period the dry matter content increased from 21 to 65% and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operation</th>
<th>Hour</th>
<th>Time per ha, min</th>
<th>Times per operation</th>
<th>Machinery</th>
<th>Diesel, l/ha</th>
<th>% DM</th>
<th>DM, kg per ha</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mowing</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Tractor 200 HP - 9 m cut</td>
<td>3,3</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inverting</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3,5</td>
<td>tractor 130 HP - 10,5 m 13 km/hour</td>
<td>2,3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raking</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Tractor 130 HP - 12,5 m 8 km/hour</td>
<td>11,7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cutting</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Tractor 200 HP - 500 m to barn</td>
<td>25,4</td>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total fields</td>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loading box</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To storage</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total barn</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Haymaking timescudule and energy use per cut – average of three farms and three cuts during the summer
there was an estimated loss of dry matter of 26%.

Table 1
At each farm the hay was dried in a box system to about 90% dry matter. The use of energy for drying was diesel used to the generator to run the combined dehumidifier and heater, while electricity was used to run the blower. In order to reduce the need for use of diesel the storage building was with double roof. The air in between the two roofs was heated by the sun shine and used for drying. The use of energy was therefore affected by hours with sunshine, air temperature and moisture, besides to dry matter content of the roughage.

Feeding
In November-December, three weeks after end of the grazing season, hay were fed ad libitum to all lactating cows (for two weeks each per type of hay) starting with different types of hay on each farm.

Intake of hay, of all types, was high with up to 75% of total dry matter intake at both farm A and C representing two cattle breeds, Holstein Frisian and Jersey.

There was no effect of proportion of herbs on intake of hay or milk yield and composition.

Compared to standard, feed efficiency was low, especially in herd A and B with around 1 kg ECM per kg DMI, while the efficiency was higher 1.25 kg ECM per kg DMI in herd C.

More information
Read more about the Organic RDD project EcoServe at: http://www.icrofs.org/Pages/Research/organic-rdd_ecoserve.html

Organic RDD is financed by the Ministry of Food, Agriculture and Fisheries and coordinated by ICROFS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Farm</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Area, ha sum of cuts</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>2392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production, kg DM per ha per cut</td>
<td>2799</td>
<td>1563</td>
<td>2815</td>
<td>12,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diesel, l per 1000 kg DM</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity kwh per 1000 kg DM</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy ¹, kwh per 1000 kg DM</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy, kwh per kg water dried off</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) 1 l diesel = 9.65 kwh

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Farm</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breed</td>
<td>Holstein Frisian</td>
<td>Holstein Frisian</td>
<td>Jersey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of hay</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- herbs, % of DM ¹</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeding, kg DM</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- cereals</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- concentrates</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- straw</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- refusal</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.0Intake, kg DM</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>19.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- NEL, MJ</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>18.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Milk, kg</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>3.94</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>4.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Fat, %</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>3.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Protein, %</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) Botanical composition at harvest

Table 2. Hay drying – three cuts during the summer.

Table 3. Daily feeding of hay and other feedstuffs, intake and production of milk.
Organic farming systems rely on the efficient use and recycling of resources. Currently, nutrients like phosphorus (P) are used only once to produce food and subsequently, lost due to poor recycling. Current regulations concerning the use of alternative P fertilizers are strict, restricting e.g. the use of municipal organic wastes and hampering e.g. the use of sewage sludge due to concerns about heavy metals and other pollutants.

However, there is an urgent need to improve the recycling of P from urban areas back to cropland, as the worldwide P reserves are very limited. Furthermore, improvement of agronomic P efficiency due to the choice of P efficient cultivars and agronomic methods (e.g. P mobilization by cover cropping, application of microorganisms suited to enhance plant P uptake) is the second pillar of improved P efficiency.

Over the past decades, mainstream phosphorus (P) management in organic farming has focused on the use of already available soil P, on an efficient recycling of farmyard organic wastes and the use of rock phosphates and other mined P fertilizers to balance P losses via sold products. Often, P balances calculated for organic farming (OF) indicate that more P is removed with the products than applied as fertilizer. Consequently, this leads to decreasing amounts of plant available soil P. Moreover, P deficiencies may also feedback through the system limiting other processes which indirectly impact on yield like symbiotic nitrogen (N2) fixation.

Necessary to recycle P
Mineable high-quality P deposits are limited making it necessary to recycle P from waste streams as well as to increase the P-fertilizer efficiency in agriculture. Strategies to address the problem of negative P balances in OF systems include:

a) Enhanced use of permitted mineral P fertilizers (e.g. mined rock phosphates) or byproducts of the steel industry.

b) Increased use of recycled P fertilizers as alternative P fertilizers by

(i) improved recycling of organic wastes from consumer/urban areas back to the farm, and

(ii) increased use of fertilizers derived from residues of the food processing industry.

The largest potential for P recycling
Sewage sludge-P recovery currently represents the largest potential for P recycling, followed by P in food industry residues. In the past few years many different techniques to clean sewage were tested and implemented (e.g. incineration, slag production, crystallization). There is little data available on the characteristics of alternative P fertilizers in terms of chemical bonding of P and plant P bioavailability. There is a need to study the characteristics of alternative P fertilizers to determine their potential for use in OF systems, also in comparison to already allowed recycling P fertilizers, without compromising food quality, the environment and long-term soil fertility. The challenge in OF is to reduce the risk of pollution while utilizing as many P fertilizers as possible.

How to improve P-uptake
The agronomic P efficiency can be improved through manipulation of biotic factors which improve P uptake. For example, there is strong evidence that different crop species have a different capability to mobilize and take up P, as plant species differ considerably in the exuda-
tion of organic acids such as citrate, malate and oxalate, which solubilize P in soil and fertilizers. Furthermore, differences in root architecture, such as root length, branching type, root hair length etc. can also influence crop P uptake efficiency.

Further possible measures to enhance P availability are green manuring with cover crops and the application of specialized Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPRs) which are able to mobilize immobile soil and fertilizer P. Inoculation of crop plants with certain strains of PGPRs may improve biomass production through direct effects on root growth (and indirect effects as mycorrhizal helper bacteria) and may result in multiple effects on plant growth such as an improved P uptake.

**Strategies for increased recycling of P**
The overall aim of the IMPROVE-P project is to develop and evaluate sustainable strategies for increased recycling of P and other nutrients, combined with the development of measures to enhance plant P availability due to agronomic innovations (cover cropping, P efficient cultivars). Moreover, the challenge of soil P mobilization will be addressed by application of PGPRs. Furthermore, environmental burdens related to APF production and appropriate management of the risk of soil and plant pollution is a major challenge when introducing alternative P fertilizers, and the development of appropriate assessment tools to define high quality P fertilizers will therefore be a major target.

**More information**
Read more about the CORE Organic project IMPROVE at: [http://www.coreorganic2.org/](http://www.coreorganic2.org/)

CORE Organic II is a European transnational research cooperation project supported by the European Commission and coordinated by ICROFS.
When several plant species share the same area there is fierce competition for resources. Above ground the competition is for light and in the soil the battle is over water and nutrients. Grass is a monocot with a fibrous root system that gives better soil infiltration than the tap root of, for example, clover. Grass also has longer and more abundant root hairs. Herbs are dicots like clover and are also tap-rooted. Some species are plentiful in the sward – they are called dominant species – while others do less well. All our traditional grassland species are dominant species, although their dominance depends on growth conditions. The herbs chicory, ribwort plantain and caraway are also dominant. The reason that we do not usually find them to be so is because they are seeded in only small amounts and are not able to spread. We can influence the competitive relationship between species through our management systems. This we know from, for example, red clover, which can dominate strongly in fields cut for silage, but has problems surviving in grazed fields.

The dominant herbs
Ribwort plantain has a leaf rosette and should therefore find it more difficult to compete for light. Although the leaves become more upright in a system with silage cuts, they tend to stay close to the ground. This is probably also the reason why the proportion of plantain decreased from 17% in the first year of use to 5% in the fourth year in the ORGGRASS experiment. Plantain flowers stick their heads up above the grass canopy but have little photosynthetic activity. Chicory also has a leaf rosette, but its taller stem with leaves means it is better able to catch the sunlight. This was reflected in the proportion of chicory in the experiments, which remained constant at approx. 10% of dry matter in the four years of the experiment.

In experiments on competition between plants, one of the findings is usually that if a species initially spends its resources on establishing a large root system, it does not compete so well later on against the dominant species. This is a lesson that caraway obviously did not learn. Caraway starts off with small leaves but they grow larger with time so that in the third and fourth year it has made up as much as 25% of the dry matter in the spring cut. We also found...
caraway to have a very large root mass, which suggests that it uses the first year to consolidate itself before growing really strong. Caraway is described as a facultative biennial. It adapts to the conditions – perhaps because it is harvested regularly – and therefore continues to grow. How well it would do beyond four years we do not know.

Who are the competitors to herbs?
This depends entirely on whether the field is used for silage cuts or for grazing! When used for silage cuts, the crop may grow quite tall and competition for light will be strong. Here grass is often outcompeted by the herbs. A large percentage of herbs in the experiment of 30% of dry matter reduced the grass content from 45 to 25% of DM, whereas white and red clover only fell from 53 to 44% (Table 1). Grazing with heifers in a large paddock gave a different result. Here the grass content only decreased by 4 percentage points (Table 1). As the competition for light is less intense with grazing, this would indicate that the herbs compete particularly with grass for light. The herbs do not cope quite so well with frequent browsing by cattle, and with grazing the herb content was only half as large as with cutting (Table 1).

Slurry injection
In an ordinary grass-clover field, the clover content will decrease when fertilised with nitrogen. This is mainly due to the different rooting systems. It is simply easier for the grass to absorb the nitrogen. When we fertilised with 200 kg N in cattle manure this resulted, as expected, in significantly more grass and less clover. But the herbs were actually unaffected (Table 1), and the effect was the same for the cutting and grazing regimes. The proportion of grass increased by 12-13 and clover fell by 13-14 percentage points. This would suggest that slurry affected particularly the competition below ground, and that herbs cannot be compared with clover. They fall somewhere in between grass and clover, although their rooting system mostly resembles that of clover.

The weak competitors
Salad burnet, dandelion, yarrow and birdfoot trefoil are weak species that can handle being cut several times a year, but find it difficult to compete with the dominant grassland species. There may be different reasons for wanting a larger share of these species: they increase appetite, contain various beneficial components, or there may be other reasons such as dandelion being good for pollinators in the spring when there are few other food sources around. A method of promoting such species is to establish them in small areas in the field where the competitive pressure from the dominant species can be restricted. As model plants, we use, respectively, burnet and dandelion together with a nitrogen-supplying legume. Red clover and lucern are seeded in very small amounts of 0.5 and 1.5 kg/ha in order to depress competition. The yield in the first year of use was highest in mixtures with red clover where it dominated plots (Table 2) – despite the low seed rate. In combination with lucern the herbs did better, but they also let in more weeds than with red clover. In combination with birdfoot trefoil there was heavy weed pressure, especially late in the season.

Our experiments have given us better insight into the growth and competitiveness of the species which will ultimately be used to purpose-design multispecies swards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Effect of different managements on the botanical composition (% of crop dry matter). Herbs are mainly chicory, ribwort plantain and caraway.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Legume</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White and red clover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herbs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weeds</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Mixtures with a less competitive herb plus a legume. Seed rate of red clover was 0.5 kg/ha, 1.5 kg for lucern and 12.5 kg for birdfoot trefoil. Total seed rate was 25 kg/ha. DM: dry matter.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Legume</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Birdfoot</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual yield (hkg DM/ha)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herb (% of DM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legume (% of DM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weeds (% of DM)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[More information](http://www.icrofs.org/Pages/Research/organic_rdd_ecoserve.html)

Organic RDD is financed by the Ministry of Food, Agriculture and Fisheries and coordinated by ICROFS.
Organic farming systems: Driving for change, or digging in the same old dirt?

By Anne-Kristin Løes, Bioforsk Organic Food and Farming, chair of the seminar committee.

A recent seminar arranged by the Nordic Association of Agricultural Scientists (NJF) in Denmark discussed this question over three days. 47 oral presentations, 33 actively discussed posters and visits to productive and inspiring organic farms made the conclusion easy: Research contributes to innovation, and farmers believe in an organic future.

The seminar was organised on four tracks, called Societal and economic viability; Transition to renewable resources; Nutrient sufficiency and management in farming systems; and Productivity and sustainable production levels in animal and crop production systems. Within each track, an invited expert contributed in all sessions to keep the overview, bring up central topics in the discussions, and summarize the tracks in the final plenary session.

Twin perspectives of research in organic farming systems
Niels Halberg, director of ICROFS, led the final plenary discussion, and presented the twin perspectives of research in organic farming systems. Researchers may either
- Develop organic agriculture as a laboratory for general agriculture, or
- Develop organic agriculture solving inherent challenges, and in relation to the four basic principles of health, ecology, fairness and care (IFOAM).

As an example of the first perspective, Halberg mentioned the success of significantly decreasing the use of antibiotics in organic dairy cows in Denmark, to a level being only 10 % of the conventional use.

Perma-culture inspired organic egg production, where laying hens are combined with orchards, or coppiced willow as energy crop, is an example of the second perspective. Both enterprises benefit from the other, since the trees or willows utilize the manure and weeding capacity of the animals, who enjoy shading and protecting from the vegetation.

Halberg then asked whether the seminar had proved that organic farming systems are in fact a driver for change.

The role of research
The four invited experts summarized some of the most inspiring and relevant results from the tracks and sessions that they followed. Important “take home”- messages were derived, and are shown from each track (text boxes).

Susanne Padel from the Organic Research Center in UK pointed out that research has a different role for different actors, although the boundaries are fuzzy. Farmers and advisors need demonstrations and knowledge generation, whereas policy makers need an evidence base.

Susanne left open the question what is the role of research for researchers. She further pointed out a very important question to the researchers: Have we kept up with the extremely rapid development of agricultural structure, or are we too much bound by romantic images of small-scale far-
Specialization is now a reality and farmers need to respond to dynamically evolving markets if they want to stay in business.

Susanne proposed to emphasize research into evidence of positive long-term effects of organic farming such as soil carbon stock and biodiversity. Farmers need good arguments to understand how they can invest in sustainability.

**Promising approaches among the contributions**

Tommy Dalgaard from Aarhus University, Denmark found many promising approaches among the contributions. He suggested more research into the effect of (bio)diverse systems, e.g. with respect to resilience towards climatic changes, and how to cover the temporal variations in energy and resource needs when renewable resources and energy get more common. Methods should be developed to assess trade-offs between services (e.g. producing energy) and multifunctionality.

**The balance between cropping and stock densities**

Elizabeth Stockdale from Newcastle University, UK challenged us to consider whether true “stockless” organic farming in the long-term was possible or even desirable. Many of the presentations in the track had linked cropping and livestock systems through manure; more innovations are needed to optimize the balance between cropping and stock densities often at regional, rather than farm scales.

Management of nutrients cannot be considered alone, and raises issues covered in the other Tracks such as dinitrous oxide emissions, soil physical conditions and consequences for plant nutrient uptake, and links between nutrient management strategies and selection of specific crops/varieties. Issues such as the proportion of home produced versus purchased feed, demand for protein feed and costs for fertilizers have recently become concerns for conventional farming too, and organic farms and farmers have been frontrunners in inventing solutions such as integration of legumes in carefully designed crop rotations.

However, organic farming may need to innovate further and find appropriate ways to sustainably integrate the use of human wastes into farming systems to fully integrate the nutrient cycle.

**Trends that threaten the diversity of organic farming**

Important advice was given by Paolo Barberi, who suggested that future studies should compare environmental performances of organic farming systems over the

---

**Track 1, Societal and economic viability - “take home” messages**

- Overall, organic farming represents a good opportunity to maintain a living for small-scale farmers in developing countries.
- Organic farming contributes to empowerment of small scale producers.
- The whole value chain can also drive growth. Eating is part of agriculture.
- Organisations supporting organic farming are very important.
- Promising new management practices are being developed, e.g. combining trees and livestock.
- Interesting new tools to work with farmers are developed, such as a picture tool to discuss weed management.
- The various customers, from consumers to retailers, research funders and policy-makers, all want value(s) for money. Values can be externalities, documentation is required!

**Track 2, Transition to renewable resources - “take home” messages**

- Organic regulations may in fact foster innovations, because creativity is used to cope with the restrictions. This may support development of more resource-efficient organic farming systems.
- Linking livestock to crop production, and recycling nutrients back to agriculture, is crucial. Biogas may be a useful measure!
- Present organic farming systems demonstrate high variation: From very good, to very bad resource efficiency and sustainability impact.
- Organic farming systems foster whole system-thinking, and aims at reducing the input of non-renewable resources.
Track 3, Nutrient sufficiency and management in farming systems - “take home” messages

- Are stockless organic production systems really possible, or even desirable?

- For all innovations proposed for better nutrient management, the authors should consider whether they are economically viable, practically possible within a farming system, and whether the framework and regulations are supportive (for instance, with respect to sewage sludge).

Track 4, Productivity and sustainable production levels in animal and crop production systems - “take home” messages

- Track 4, Productivity and sustainable production levels in animal and crop production systems - “take home” messages

- We still need a lot of basic bio/ecological knowledge! Focus should be on interactions between taxa for instance clover fatigue, where nematodes, fungi, plants and bacteria are all involved.

- Future research should be allowed to be visionary!

- There is still a significant need to communicate the importance of long-term experiments, interdisciplinarity and system approaches to funding bodies and other stakeholders.

- Long-term experiments should be designed flexible enough to allow for short-term testing of technical innovations.

- National research evaluations should be de-conventionalized, looking for innovations, not only publishing activities.

The hard-working seminar committee comprised (from the left): Kirsi Partanen, Eva Salomon, Sirli Pehme, Kajsa Ullvén, Maria Wivstad, Vibeke Langer, Peter Sørensen, Margrethe Askegaard, Ilse Rasmussen and Anne-Kristin Løes.

The seminar participants were generally very satisfied, and mentioned especially inspiring farm visits and engaged discussions during poster workshops as very good elements of the seminar. All posters had a short oral presentation during sessions organized along the four tracks. Another organic NJF seminar in 4 years was unanimously welcomed, and the Finnish institute of organic farming offered to host the next seminar.
The VOA3R-platform

The VOA3R-platform, which is a common entrance to publications in archives like Organic Eprints, Epsilon (the archive of the Swedish Agricultural University), ProdINRA (the archive of INRA, France) and many more with relevance for agriculture, aquaculture and environment, is still growing. It now comprises more than 2.5 million articles from 32 institutions, including the AGRIS-collection of FAO. In addition to being able to search publications in different ways – some of which are not fully developed yet – it is also possible to communicate about the papers you find, or other relevant matters. Try e.g. to visit the group “Organic Agriculture”.

Films and short videos

Films and short videos are a powerful way of increasing awareness of and interest in the food system.

With equal parts technology and artistry, filmmakers can bring an audience to a vegetable garden in Uganda, a fast food workers’ rights protest in New York City, or an urban farm in Singapore. And animation can help paint a picture of what a sustainable, just, and fair food system might look like. Film is an incredible tool for effecting change through transforming behaviors and ways of thinking. Take a look at “26 films every food activist must watch” here: http://foodtank.org/news/2013/09/twenty-six-films-every-food-activist-must-watch/?utm_source=Food+Tank%2C+The+Food+Think+Tank&utm_campaign=a84de42588-Twitter&utm_medium=email&utm_term=0_c6d-5c4b977-a84de42588-6091689

International Conference on Organic Agriculture Sciences (ICOAS) 9-13th October 2013; Hungary

The 4th Scientific Conference on Organic Agriculture in Central and Eastern Europe will be held 9-13th October 2013 in Hungary. Previously held in the Czech Republic, ICOAS provides an opportunity for researchers, nongovernmental organizations, practitioners and policy makers around the globe to meet and discuss current results of organic agriculture sciences.

From 2013 ICOAS will be a bi-annual event organized every second year in a different Central and Eastern European country with a special emphasis on selected topics of organic agriculture research for each conference.

The theme for ICOAS 2013 is Targeting Global Sustainability – Food Security, Biodiversity and Climate Change.

ICOAS 2013 is hosted by the Hungarian Research Institute of Organic Agriculture (ÖMKi), partner institute of FiBL, Switzerland. Check here for calls for symposia and papers.

Organic World Congress, held in Istanbul, Turkey, 13 - 15 October

Call for papers for the Organic World Congress, held in Istanbul, Turkey, 13 - 15 October is still open. The congress is gathering the global Organic Movement every three years. 2000 people from all continents debate issues, inspire each other, learn altogether and take strategic decisions. Submission deadline is 30 September, 2013. Read more at: http://www.organicworldcongress.com

Seminar on integrated pest management in Nordic berry crops

NJF organizes this seminar taking place 12-13 November in Denmark. The seminar focuses on integrated pest management in Nordic berry production. Some of the main topics are: New pests and diseases in Nordic-Baltic berry crops, trade-offs between inhibiting pests and promoting pollinators in berry crops, effects of organic growing systems on pests and diseases in berry crops, biological control in berry crops and weed management. Among others, results and experiences from the CORE Organic II project Softpest Multitrap, that focuses on management of pest insects in organic strawberry and raspberry fields will be presented. Read more at: http://www.njf.nu/site/seminarRedirect.asp?oType=inf&p=1004&intSeminarID=465&strSemInfotype=inf

Multicriteria assessment and communication of effects of organic food systems

International Conference, Aarhus University, Denmark 25th – 26th November, 2013. The goal of the interdisciplinary MultiTrust project (http://multitrust.org/) has been to analyze and test how new methods of multicriteria assessment can help document and communicate balanced overall assessments of effects of organic food systems on society and nature. Read more at: http://bcom.au.dk/research/conferencesandlectures/multi/>